	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/970,547	JORGENSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David L. Sorkin	1723
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John M. Garvey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: not discussed		
Claims discussed: 1, 4-13, 15, 16, 18 and 19		
Prior art documents discussed: Wahtola (US 599,273)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
gail Li		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that, after entry of the after final amendment filed 17 August 2005, an examiner's amendment would be entered, canceling claims 5-7, 10, 16, 18 and 19, to facilitate allowance of the remaining claims which it was agreed were supported at least by the embodiment of Fig. 11.